

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/366,858	08/04/1999	WILLIAM DRENTTEL	HD-100US	3583	
75	90 07/03/2002				
Evelyn M. Sommer, Esq.			EXAMINER		
1133 Avenue of			HUYNH, THU V		
New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant/a	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ŋ
Office Action Summer		09/366,858	DRENTTEL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thu V Huynh	2176	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addre	SS
THE N - Exten after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayed the mailing of the mailing of the mailing displayed. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, moly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	August 1999 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			nerits is
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement	•	
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) 🔲 🛚	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	•	
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
12)[] 7	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ε	xaminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	ge
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·		plication)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pr cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application ha	as been received.	,
Attachment				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	
S. Patent and Tra FO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Pa	per No. 5

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: application filed on 09/04/1999.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 6, 11, and 15 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 recites the limitation "said series". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated over

 Courter et al., "Mastering Microsoft Office 2000 Professional Edition", ISBN:0782123139,

 Pub. Date: February 1999, pages 105-145; 937-981; and 1031-1056.

Regarding independent claim 15, Courter teaches a method for displaying text and other information on a display, said text information having at least two formats, at least one of said formats having a horizontal directional orientation and at least one of said formats having a

Art Unit: 2176

vertical directional orientation (Courter, page 124, line 1 – page 125, line 3), said method comprising:

- creating a first screen by dividing the area of the display into first plurality of grids (Courter, page 121, line 8– page 122, line 11), each of said first plurality grids being dimensioned to have approximately a two dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configuration (Courter, page 121, line 35 page 122, line 7, specifying exact measurements and formatting for the table by setting height/width of plurality grids when creating the table. This inherently discloses that plurality grids dimensioned one- by-one, two-by-one, or any desired dimension), at least one of said first plurality of grids displaying said text information formatted in said horizontal directional orientation, said at least one grid having a horizontal orientation corresponding to the orientation of said textual information format (Courter, page 123, lines 3-6; page 124, line 15 page 125, line 3);
- creating second screen by dividing the area of the display into a second plurality of grids (Courter, page 121, line 8– page 122, line 11), each of said second plurality of grids being dimensioned to have approximately a two dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configuration, each said grid having a horizontal or vertical orientation (Courter, page 121, line 35 page 122, line 7, specifying exact measurements and formatting for the table by setting height/width of plurality grids when creating the table. This inherently discloses that plurality grids dimensioned one- by-one, two-by-one, or any desired dimension), at least one of said first plurality of grids displaying said text information formatted in said vertical directional

Art Unit: 2176

orientation, said at least one grid having a vertical orientation corresponding to the orientation of said textual information format (Courter, page 123, lines 3-6; page 124, line 15 – page 125, line 3);

- selecting a first format for said text information from said at least two format (Courter, page 124, lines 15-36 and page 125, fig. 6.12); and
- displaying said screen having said textual information corresponding to said selected format (Courter, page 124, lines 15-36 and page 125, fig. 6.12).

Regarding dependent claim 16, which is dependent on claim 15, FrontPage teaches the limitations of claim 15 as explained above. Courter teaches at least one of said grids is further subdivided into two grids (Courter, page134, lines 10-21 and fig. 6.20, splitting a grid (cell) into many grids (cells) which inherently two grids (cells)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - (b) This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2176

Microsoft <u>FrontPage</u> 98 (herein after FrontPage), copyright 1997 by Sams.net Publishing, pages 359-381 in view of <u>England</u>, US 6,144,991 filed 02/1998.

Regarding independent claim 1, Front Page teaches a template for the display of information, said template having dimensional configuration of a height of approximately a first whole number of dimensional units and a width of approximately a second whole number of dimensional units (FrontPage, page 367 line 14 – page 368 line 24; page 363, line 10 – page 364, line 5; and fig. 18.4, many templates such as "Banner and Contents, Footer, Header, Footer and Contents, Vertical Split" template,), said template comprising a plurality of grids dimensioned to fill said template (FrontPage, page 363, fig.18.4 and page 378, fig.18.6, each template has different number of frames and layout).

Although the use a plurality of grids dimensioned to fill said template, wherein said grids being dimensioned to have approximately a two dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configuration are not explicitly disclosed, FrontPage implementation obviously use such grids and grids' dimensional information to allow the user to create new frameset and frames, as well as to add (split) and delete frames (FrontPage, page 363 lines 10-22 and page 365 lines 3-22).

England teaches the step of providing different frame layouts and grid dimensions (England, fig.4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined England and FrontPage to provide "grids being dimensioned to have approximately a two dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configuration", since this would have provided the user with different template layout configuration.

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding dependent claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, FrontPage and England teach the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. Refer to the rationale relied to reject claim 1, FrontPage and England also teach feature "all of said grids of said template have an approximately two dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configurations".

Regarding dependent claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, FrontPage and England teach the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. FrontPage teaches wherein at least one of said grids is further subdivided into two grids (FrontPage, page 365 lines 3-13). However, FrontPage does not explicitly disclose each subdivided having an approximately one dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configuration.

England teaches the step of providing different frame layouts and grid dimensions (England, fig.4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined England and FrontPage to provide "each subdivided having an approximately one dimension unit by one dimension unit configuration", two-by-one dimensional unit configuration, or any different desired dimensional unit configuration, since this would have provided the user with different layout configuration.

Regarding dependent claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, FrontPage and England teach the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. FrontPage teaches wherein said template is provided in a Web authoring program for generating pages for display with a browser program,

Art Unit: 2176

said grids comprising frames in which information may be entered, through said authoring program and displayed via said browser program (FrontPage, page 359; page 360, lines 1-13; and page 371, lines 1-20, generating web pages for display with a browser program and separately adding/editing the content of a frame page in a full browser window).

Regarding dependent claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, FrontPage and England teach the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. Refer to the rationale relied to reject claim 4, "wherein said template is provided within a software program, said girds comprising frames in which information may be entered to said software program" is addressed. The rationale is incorporated herein.

Claim 6 is for a computer system performing the method combination of claims 1 and 3, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 7-10 are for a computer system performing the method of claims 2-5, respectively and are rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 11, FrontPage teaches a method arranging information, including text and graphic images, in a template having a dimensional configuration of a height of approximately a first whole number of dimensional units and a width of approximately a second whole number of dimensional units (FrontPage, page 367 line 14 to page 368 line 24; and page 375, lines 1-20). FrontPage teaches providing at least one template (FronPage, page 363,

Art Unit: 2176

line 10 – page 364, line 5; and fig. 18.4, many templates such as "Banner and Contents, Footer, Header, Footer and Contents, Vertical Split" template, each has different number of frames and layout) subdivided into a plurality of grids dimensioned to fill said template (FrontPage, page 363, fig. 18.4 and page 378, fig. 18.6). However, FrontPage does not explicitly disclose each subdivided having an approximately two-by-one dimensional configuration.

England teaches the step of providing different frame layouts and grid dimensions (England, fig.4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined England and FrontPage to provide "at least one of said grids has approximate two-by-one dimensional configuration", one-by-one dimensional unit configuration, or any different desired dimensional unit configuration, since this would have provided the user with different template layout configuration.

Regarding dependent claim 12, which is dependent on claim 11, FrontPage and England teach the limitations of claim 11 as explained above. Refer to the rationale relied to reject claim 11, "providing a plurality of templates, each said template having a different arrangement of grids" is addressed.

Regarding dependent claim 13, which is dependent on claim 11, FrontPage and England teach the limitations of claim 11 as explained above. FrontPage teaches separately entering information into each of said grids such that said template displays different information

Art Unit: 2176

in said grids (FrontPage, 371-375, separately adding different content to left, top and main frame).

Regarding dependent claim 14, which is dependent on claim 11, FrontPage and England teach the limitations of claim 11 as explained above. FrontPage teaches the template is provided for in a Web authoring program for generating pages for display with a browser program in which information may be entered, through said authoring program and displayed via said browser program (FrontPage, page 359; page 360, lines 1-13; and page 371, lines 1-20, generating web pages for display with a browser program and adding/editing the content of a frame page in a full browser window).

9. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Microsoft FrontPage 98" (herein after FrontPage), copyright 1997 by Sams.net Publishing, pages 359-381 in view of England, US 6,144,991 filed 02/1998 and Courter et al., "Mastering Microsoft Office 2000 Professional Edition", ISBN:0782123139, Pub. Date: February 1999, pages 105-145; 937-981; and 1031-1056.

Regarding independent claim 15, FrontPage teaches a method for displaying text and other information on a display, said text information having at least two formats, at least one of said formats having a horizontal directional orientation and at least one of said formats having a vertical directional orientation (FrontPage, page 367 line 14 to page 368 line 24; page 374, fig. 18.12, text in horizontal direction in top frame and vertical direction on left frame), said method comprising:

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/366,858

Art Unit: 2176

- creating a first screen by dividing the area of the display into first plurality of grids

(FrontPage, page 363, lines 10-16; and 365 lines 3-13, creating frameset and splitting
a frame into two rows or columns), at least one of said first plurality of grids

displaying said text information formatted in said horizontal directional orientation,

said at least one grid having a horizontal orientation corresponding to the orientation

of said textual information format (FrontPage, page 373, text information formatted
in horizontal direction in top frame); and

creating second screen by dividing the area of the display into a second plurality of grids (FrontPage, page 363, lines 10-16; and 365 lines 3-13, creating frameset and splitting a frame into two rows or columns), each said grid having a horizontal or vertical orientation, at least one of said first plurality of grids displaying said text information formatted in said vertical directional orientation, said at least one grid having a vertical orientation corresponding to the orientation of said textual information format (FrontPage, page 373, text information formatted in vertical direction in left frame).

FrontPage teaches that the user enables to configure the size of plurality of frames (FrontPage, page 367, lines 4-17). However, FrontPage does not explicitly disclose each of said first and second plurality grids being dimensioned to have approximately a two dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configuration; selecting a first format for text information from at least two format; and displaying said screen having said textual information corresponding to said selected format.

Art Unit: 2176

England teaches the step of providing different frame layouts and grid dimensions (England, fig.4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined England and FrontPage to provide "grids being dimensioned to have approximately a two dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configuration", since this would have provided the user with different layout configuration to create desired frames.

However, England does not explicitly disclose selecting a first format for text information from at least two formats; and displaying said screen having said textual information corresponding to said selected format.

Courter teaches the steps of:

- selecting a first format for text information from at least two format (Courter, page 124, lines 15-36 and page 125, fig. 6.12); and
- displaying a screen having said textual information corresponding to said selected format (Courter, page 124, lines 15-36 and page 125, fig. 6.12).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Courter and FrontPage to provide tools for the user to format contents in frames, since this would have facilitated the user to layout an electronic document.

Regarding dependent claim 16, which is dependent on claim 15, FrontPage, England, and Courter teach the limitations of claim 15 as explained above. Refer to the rationale relied to

Art Unit: 2176

reject claim 15, FrontPage teaches at least one of said grids is further subdivided into two grids (FrontPage, page 365 lines 3-13, subdividing a frame into plurality of frames).

However FrontPage does not explicitly disclose each grid having an approximately one dimensional unit by one dimensional unit configuration.

England teaches the step of providing different frame layouts and grid dimensions (England, fig.4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined England and FrontPage to provide "each subdivided having an approximately one dimension unit by one dimension unit configuration", two-by-one dimensional unit configuration, or any different desired dimensional unit configuration, since this would have provided the user with different layout configuration to create a desired frameset.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hargove, US 5371847 teaches method and system for specifying the arrangement of windows on a display.

Shafran, "teach yourself Microsoft FrontPage 98 in 24 hours", copyright 1997 by Sams.net Publishing, first editor, pages 184-198.

"SoftQuad HotMetal Pro 3.0", copyright 1996, pages 69-86.

Art Unit: 2176

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11.

examiner should be directed to Thu v Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9774. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, except the second Friday of each

bi-week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular

communications (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications, and (703) 746-7240 for Non-

Official/Draft.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9000.

TVH

June 27, 2002

Page 13